

Publication ethics – basic ethical principles for publishing
in *Acta facultatis theologicae Universitatis Comenianae Bratislaviensis*

The journal *Acta facultatis theologicae Universitatis Comenianae Bratislaviensis* is committed to adhere to the highest standards of publication ethics and to use all means of detecting abusive practices. Any kind of unethical behaviour is unacceptable and the editors of the journal will not tolerate plagiarism or copyright infringement in any form.

Duties of authors

The authors submitting contributions to the *Acta facultatis theologicae Universitatis Comenianae Bratislaviensis* declare that the content of their manuscripts is an original contribution that has not yet been published anywhere, nor is being judged in another periodical.

Reporting standards. The authors present the results of their original research as well as an objective analysis of its significance. Dishonest and deliberately inaccurate data, representing unethical behaviour, are unacceptable.

By sending a paper for a review procedure to the editors of the journal, the author agrees with its publication in the *Acta facultatis theologicae Universitatis Comenianae Bratislaviensis*. The author retains the copyright to his/her contribution, but grants the publisher the sole and exclusive right and license to publish the contribution during the legal term of the copyright.

Originality and plagiarism. If the author completes or expands his/her previous research (work), he will state in the beginning of the manuscript the exact bibliographic data of the work to which he/she is building. A substantial part of the contribution must be innovative. Submitting the same manuscript to more than one journal at a time is unethical and unacceptable.

Allocation of resources. Authors are required to cite all sources (including their own published ones) from which they drew or to which they refer in their contribution. Authors are fully responsible for the correct and accurate citation of the sources and literature used. They are also required to provide information on any permission obtained to publish or refer to a source – in particular an unpublished one – which has been granted to them.

Contributors are solely responsible for obtaining permission from copyright holders to reproduce any illustrations, tables, diagrams, or longer citations that have been published in another periodical in the past.

All those who have made a significant contribution to the work should be listed as co-authors. It is their responsibility that all the mentioned co-authors have seen and agreed on the final version, have given their consent to the mention of their names as co-authors of the paper and their consent to its publication.

All sources of possible financial support for the project should be made public.

Authors are required to indicate their institutional affiliation (workplace, address, e-mail contact).

If at any time the author discovers significant deficiencies or inaccuracies in the submitted manuscript, he must notify the editor.

The authors are entitled to appeal against the decision of the editor-in-chief or the editorial board. Unresolved issues are discussed in accordance with the rules of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE). See: <http://publicationethics.org/resources/guidelines>.

Responsibilities of reviewers

Promptness. Accepted texts are submitted for review to two reviewers who are experts in the field and come from different workplaces as the author of the text. If the reviewer knows that he/she is unable to complete the assessment within the agreed deadline, he/she is obliged to notify the editor so that the manuscript can be sent to another reviewer.

Review reports must be objective and confidential. They are provided exclusively to the authors for the possible incorporation of amendments and corrections and to the Editorial Board as a basis for the final decision to publish or not to publish the paper. Reviewers should act objectively.

Reviewers should express their views clearly with explanatory arguments. Personal criticism of authors is inappropriate and derogatory language is inadmissible.

Confidentiality. Information concerning the authors' manuscripts and personal data must be kept confidential. Their processing does not preclude that they can be processed into so-called privileged purposes, namely archiving purposes, for the purposes of scientific or historical research and for statistical purposes in relation to adequate guarantees for the rights of the data subject, which is in accordance with the principle of purpose limitation under §7 of Act no. 18/2018 Coll. on the protection of personal data and on the amendment of certain laws. See: <https://zakon18.sk/zakon/%C2%A7-7-zasada-obmedzenia-ucelu/>.

Conflicts of interest. Reviewers are excluded from reviewing manuscripts where there is an obvious conflict of interest arising from employment relationships, collaborations, or other ties with authors, projects, companies, or institutions.

The review process is strictly anonymous; all posts go through an anonymous double blind peer review process.

Only reviews, reports and announcements can be published without a review process.

Duties of Editors

The editorial board will first examine each submitted paper. Papers that do not meet the formal requirements and are clearly not related to the journal's professional focus, or that violate the ethics of publishing, will be immediately returned for correction or rejected. The editorial board immediately informs the author of the rejection.

Assessment of manuscripts. Papers that meet formal and content criteria then go through an anonymous review process. The editorial board will submit a paper to at least two reviewers for anonymous review. The review procedure is mutually anonymous, i.e. anonymity of the author and reviewers is guaranteed. The editorial board strictly ensures that the reviewers are independent of the authors, i.e. that they are not affiliated with the same institution, so that two reviewers for each paper are selected for anonymous review from a different institution than the authors of the paper or article. The editorial board of the journal can document this practice by archiving all the reviewers' opinions from all published papers. Reviewers, in the prescribed form, will prepare a review report, in which they will recommend or not recommend the publication of the paper, or recommend its publication after incorporation of the comments. Each reviewer is required to make an opinion by 30 days. If reviewers have serious reservations about both the content and the text, the author must correct it. The editorial board does not charge any fees for acceptance and review.

Disclosure and conflict of interest. If both reviewers recommend publishing and the editorial board approves it as well, the paper may be published. If both reviews are negative, the text cannot be published. If one review is positive and the other is negative, the editor invites the author to complete the paper in accordance with the reviewers' comments and submits it for reconsideration. Unpublished data obtained from submitted manuscripts may not be used in any form by anyone who has access to the manuscript without the express written consent of the author.

The editorial board is responsible for adhering to the publication ethics and cooperates with authors, reviewers and editors so that it sufficiently informs them in matters of publication ethics.

The editorial board protects the confidentiality of personal data, the content of reviews and the correspondence of the editors with authors and reviewers.

The editors are obliged to allow the publication of corrections, explanations, excuses concerning the published contribution or part thereof.

The editorial board pursues a policy that promotes a fair approach, monitors suspicions of misconduct and, if necessary, makes the necessary decisions. Possible problems are solved by the editor-in-chief or his deputy in accordance with the document Publishing Ethics Resource Kit created by Elsevier (PERK).